
Exova Warringtonfire Holmesfield Road Warrington WA1 2DS United Kingdom

T : +44 (0 1925 655116 F : +44 (0) 1925 655419 E : warrington@exova.com W: www.exova.com



BS 476: Part 7: 1997



Method For Classification Of The Surface **Spread Of Flame Of Products**

A Report To: HL Plastics Ltd

Document Reference: 383363

Date: 16th May 2017

Issue No.: 1

Page 1





Registered Office: Exova (UK) Ltd, Lochend Industrial Estate, Newbridge, Midlothian EH28 8PL United Kingdom. Reg No.SC 70429 This report in issued in accordance with our terms and conditions, a copy of which is available on request.

0249



Executive Summary

Objective

To determine the surface spread of flame classification of the following product when tested in accordance with BS 476: Part 7: 1997.

Generic Description		Product reference	Thickness	Weight per unit area or density	
Capping Board & Flat Board		"LCB & LFB resp."	9mm	5kg/m ²	
Individual comp	onents used to	manufacture composite:		· · ·	
Facing		"MOOO49"	0.5mm	0.725kg/m ²	
Foam		"MOO720"	8.5mm	4.25kg/m ²	
Please see page	5 of this test re	port for the full description	on of the product te	sted	
Test Sponsor	HL Plastics DE5 8JX	HL Plastics Ltd, Flamstead House, Denby Hall Business Park, Denby, Derbyshire, DE5 8JX			
Test Results:	Class 1Y				
		inty of measurement estim velled by the flame front and			

Date of Test 11th May 2017

Signatories

C Men. **Responsible Officer** Authorised C. Meachin * S. Deeming * **Technical Officer Business Unit Head**

Page No.:

Issue Date:

Issue No.:

* For and on behalf of Exova Warringtonfire.

Report Issued:16th May 2017

This version of the report has been produced from a pdf format electronic file that has been provided by **Exova Warringtonfire** to the sponsor of the report and must only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements of reports must not be published without permission of **Exova Warringtonfire**.

Document No.: 383363 Author: C. Meachin Client: HL Plastics Ltd

d





CONTENTS	PAGE NO.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
SIGNATORIES	2
TEST DETAILS	4
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SPECIMENS	5
TEST RESULTS	6
APPENDIX 1 – TEST RESULTS	7
APPENDIX 2 – CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA	9
REVISION HISTORY	10

Document No.: 383363 Author: Client:

C. Meachin HL Plastics Ltd Page No.: Issue Date: Issue No.:







Test Details

- To determine the performance of a product when it is subjected to the **Purpose of test** conditions of the test specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997, "Fire tests on building materials and structures, method for classification of the surface spread of flame of products". This test was therefore performed in accordance with the procedure specified in BS 476: Part 7: 1997 and this report should be read in conjunction with that British Standard.
- BS 476: Part 7: 1997 specifies a method of test for measuring the lateral Scope of test spread of flame along the surface of a specimen of a product orientated in the vertical position, and a classification system based on the rate and extent of flame spread. It provides data suitable for comparing the performances of essentially flat materials, composites, or assemblies, which are used primarily as the exposed surfaces of walls or ceilings.
- Certain aspects of some fire test specifications are open to different Fire test study interpretations. The Fire Test Study Group and EGOLF have identified a group/EGOLF number of such areas and have agreed Resolutions which define common agreement of interpretations between fire test laboratories which are members of the Groups. Where such Resolutions are applicable to this test they have been followed.
- Instruction to test The test was conducted on the 11th May 2017 at the request of HL Plastics Ltd, the sponsor of the test.
- **Provision of test** The specimens were supplied by the sponsor of the test. Exova specimens Warringtonfire was not involved in any selection or sampling procedure.

Conditioning of The specimens were received on the 3rd May 2017 and were conditioned to specimens constant mass at a temperature of $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and a relative humidity of $50 \pm 5\%$ prior to testing.

- Form in which the Composite - Combination of materials which are generally recognised in specimens were building constructions as discrete entities e.g. coated or laminated materials. tested Each specimen was tested in direct contact with a nominally 12mm thick noncombustible backing board.
- **Exposed face** The decorative face of the specimens was exposed to the heating conditions of the test.

Document No.: 383363 Author: Client:

C. Meachin HL Plastics Ltd Page No .: Issue Date: Issue No.:





Description of Test Specimens

The description of the specimens given below has been prepared from information provided by the sponsor of the test. All values quoted are nominal, unless tolerances are given.

General description		Capping Board & Flat Board		
Product reference		"LCB & LFB resp."		
Name of manufacturer		Liniar		
Thickness		9mm (stated by sponsor)		
		8.77mm (determined by Exova Warringtonfire)		
Weight per unit a	irea	5kg/m ² (stated by sponsor)		
		4.03kg/m ² (determined by Exova Warringtonfire)		
	Generic type	PVCu Skin		
	Product reference	"MOOO49" (internal material ref)		
Facing	Name of manufacturer	Liniar		
Facing (Test face)	Thickness	0.5mm		
(Test lace)	Density / weight per unit area	SG 1.45 / 0.725kg/m ² at 0.5mm		
	Colour reference	"White"		
	Flame retardant details	See Note 1 below		
Ponding process		Heat fused		
	Generic type	PVCue		
	Product reference	"MOO720" (internal material ref)		
	Name of manufacturer	Liniar		
Foam	Thickness	8.5mm		
	Density / weight per unit area	SG 0.5 / 4.25kg/m ² at 8.5mm		
	Colour reference	"White"		
	Flame retardant details	See Note 1 below		
Brief description of manufacturing process		Co-extrusion		

Note 1: The sponsor of the test has confirmed that no flame retardant additives were utilised in the production of the component.

Document No.: 383363 Author: Client:

C. Meachin HL Plastics Ltd Page No.: Issue Date: Issue No.:







Test Results

Results and observations	The test results for the individual specimens, together with observations made during the test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test are given in Appendix 1.
Classification	In accordance with the class definitions given in BS 476: Part 7: 1997; the specimens tested are classified as Class 1Y.
	An uncertainty of measurement estimation has been conducted in relation to the distance travelled by the flame front and the findings are as detailed on page 8.
Criteria for classification	If the prefix 'D' or suffix 'R' or 'Y' is included in the classification, this indicates that the results should be treated with caution. An explanation of the reason for the prefix and suffixes is given in Appendix 2, together with the classification limits specified in the Standard.
Applicability of test result	The test results relate only to the behaviour of the test specimens of the product under the particular conditions of test; they are not intended to be the sole criterion for assessing the potential fire hazard of the product in use.
	The test results relate only to the specimens of the product in the form in which they were tested. Small differences in the composition or thickness of the product may significantly affect the performance during the test and may therefore invalidate the test results. Care should be taken to ensure that any product which is supplied or used is fully represented by the specimens which were tested.
Validity	The specification and interpretation of fire test methods are the subject of ongoing development and refinement. Changes in associated legislation may also occur. For these reasons it is recommended that the relevance of test reports over five years old should be considered by the user. The laboratory that issued the report will be able to offer, on behalf of the legal owner, a review of the procedures adopted for a particular test to ensure that they are consistent with current practices, and if required may endorse the test report.
	This report may only be reproduced in full. Extracts or abridgements shall not be published without permission of Exova Warringtonfire .

Document No.: 383363 Author: Client:

C. Meachin HL Plastics Ltd Page No.: Issue Date: Issue No.:





SPECIMEN No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
Maximum distance travelled at 1.5 minutes (mm)	70	70	70	70	70	70
Distance (mm)		Time		ndicated dis seconds)	tance	
75 165 190 215 240 265 290 375 455 500 525 600 675 710 750 785				3:49 4:02		
825 Time to reach maximum distance travelled	1:00	1:00	1:00	4:02	1:00	1:00
Maximum distance travelled in 10 minutes (mm)	70	70	70	165	70	70

Appendix 1 – Test Results

Note: Six specimens are usually tested. If the test on any specimen is deemed to be invalid, as defined in the Standard, it is permissible for up to a maximum of nine specimens to be tested in order to obtain the six valid test results.

Observations made during test and comments on any difficulties encountered during the test:

In the case of each specimen tested all sustained flaming ceased after 1:00. Flash flaming occurred at the top of each specimen during the second minute of the test at a maximum distance of 100mm.

In the case of specimen 4, re-ignition occurred above the reference line a distance at 3:23 extending up to a maximum distance of 240mm.

In the case of each specimen tested the material began to soften and melt from the fifth minute of the test, progressively slumping away from the test position as the test continued, resulting in the entire specimen slumping from the test position at the end of the test. It was considered that this behaviour affected the surface spread of flame characteristics of the product, therefore a suffix "Y" has been added to the classification.

Document No.:383363Author:C. MeachinClient:HL Plastics Ltd

nin ics Ltd Page No.: Issue Date: Issue No.:





Uncertainty of	Specimen No.	1	2	3	4	5	6
measurement	Maximum distance travelled at 1.5 minutes (mm)	±4	±4	±4	±4	±4	±4
	Maximum distance travelled in 10 minutes (mm)	±4	±4	±4	±10	±4	±4

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k=2, providing a coverage probability of approximately 95%. The uncertainty evaluation has been carried out in accordance with UKAS requirements.

Document No.: 3 Author: C Client: H

383363 C. Meachin HL Plastics Ltd Page No.: Issue Date: Issue No.:







Classification of spread of flame		Spread of Flame at 1.5 min		Final Spread of Flame	
	Classification	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)	Limit (mm)	Limit for one specimen (mm)
	Class 1 Class 2 Class 3	165 215 265	165 + 25 215 + 25 265 + 25	165 455 710	165 + 25 455 + 45 710 + 75
	Class 4	Exceeding the	limits for class 3		
Explanation of prefix and suffixes which may be added to the		x R is added to the classification if more than six specimens are ed in order to obtain six valid test results (e.g. class 2R).			

Appendix 2 – Classification Criteria

2. A prefix D is added to the classification of any product which does not comply with the surface characteristics specified in the Standard and has therefore been tested in a modified form (e.g. class D3).

3. A suffix Y is added to the classification if any softening and/or other behaviour that may affect the flame spread occurs (e.g. class 3Y).

For example, a classification of D3RY could be achieved indicating (a) a modified surface has been used; (b) a class 3 result has been obtained; (c) additional specimens have been used to obtain 6 valid results and; (d) softening and/or other behaviour has occurred which is considered to have affected the test result.

Document No.: 383363 Author: Client:

classification

C. Meachin HL Plastics Ltd Page No.: Issue Date: Issue No.:





Revision History

Issue No :	Re-issue Date:
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Issue No :	Re-issue Date:
Revised By:	Approved By:
Reason for Revision:	

Document No.: 383363 Author: Client:

C. Meachin HL Plastics Ltd Page No.: Issue Date: Issue No.:

